Chapter III Quarternion Algebras and norm forms

Satya Mandal

University of Kansas, Lawrence KS 66045 USA

August 15 2013

1 Construction or Definition

Definition 1.1. Let F be any field with $char(F) \neq 2$ and $a, b \in \dot{F}$. Define quarternion algebra $A = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$ as follows:

A is generated, as an algebra, by two generators i, j. with relations

$$i^2 = a, \quad j^2 = b \quad ij = -ji.$$

1. Also let $k := ij \in A$. Then,

$$k^2 = -ab, \quad ik = -ki = aj, \quad kj = -jk = bk$$

- 2. So, i, j, k anticommute.
- 3. When $F = \mathbb{R}$, the usual quaternion is $\mathcal{H} := \left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{R}}\right)$.
- 4. A is spanned by 1, i, j, k as a VS over F.

Lemma 1.2 (Construction). $A = \begin{pmatrix} a,b \\ F \end{pmatrix}$ is constructed as follows:

1. Let P = F[[X, Y]] be the non-commutative polynomial algebra. This is also called the "free algebra" over F, generated by X, Y. In fact,

$$P = F[[X, Y]] = \bigoplus_{w \in \Omega} F \cdot w = F \cdot 1 \oplus \oplus F \cdot X \oplus F \cdot Y \oplus F \cdot XY \oplus F \cdot YX \cdots$$

where Ω is the set of all words in X, Y.

2. Let \mathcal{I} be the two sided ideal of F[[X, Y]] generated by

$$\{X^2 - a, Y^2 - b, XY + YX\}$$

3. Then,

$$A = \left(\frac{a, b}{F}\right) = \frac{F[[X, Y]]}{\mathcal{I}}$$

4. We write

$$i:=\overline{X}, \ j:=\overline{Y}, \ k:=ij=\overline{XY}$$

Proposition 1.3 (1.0). $\{1, i, j, k\}$ is a basis for $A = \left(\frac{a, b}{F}\right)$. So, dim A = 4.

Proof. We use the construction. Write $i = \overline{X}, j = \overline{Y}$ and k = ij. It is clear that A is generated by $\{1, i, j, k\}$ spans A.

Linear Independence: Let *E* be the algebraic closure of *F*. Fix $\alpha, \beta \in E$ such that $\alpha^2 = -a, \beta^2 = b$. Let

$$i_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $j_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}(E).$

Define

$$\varphi_0: F[[X,Y]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(E) \quad by \quad \varphi_0(X) = i_0, \quad \varphi_0(Y) = j_0.$$

Now,

$$\varphi_0(X^2-a) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0\\ 0 & a \end{array}\right) - a = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\varphi_0(Y^2-a) = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0\\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - b = 0.$$

Also,

$$\varphi(XY + YX) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha\beta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha\beta \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

So, φ_0 factors through as follows:



So, φ_0 factors as follows:

$$\varphi(k) = \varphi(ij) = \varphi_0(XY) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta & 0\\ 0 & -\alpha\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly,

$$1, i_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, j_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

are linealry independent over E. Hence 1, i, j, k are linearly independent. The proof is complete.

Linear Independence: Possible Proof. Suppose $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k = 0$. This means, $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \alpha_2 Y + \alpha_3 X Y \in \mathcal{I}$. Write

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \alpha_2 Y + \alpha_3 X Y$$

= $\sum f_1(X, Y)^k (X^2 - a) g_1^k(X, Y) + \sum f_2^k (X, Y) (Y^2 - b) g_2^k(X, Y)$
+ $\sum f_3^k (X, Y) (XY + YX) g_3^k(X, Y)$

In fact

$$X^{2}Y = X(XY + YX) - XYX = X(XY + YX) - (XY + YX)X + YX^{2}$$

 $X^2Y \equiv YX^2 \mod (XY + YX). \quad Similarly \ Y^2X = XY^2 \mod (XY + YX).$

Using this, we can write

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \alpha_2 Y + \alpha_3 XY$$

= $f_1(X, Y)(X^2 - a) + f_2(X, Y)(Y^2 - b)$
+ $\sum f_3^k(X, Y)(XY + YX)g_3^k(X, Y)$

One should be able to equate coefficients and complete the proof. I did not spend enough time on it. I live it as an exercise.

Lemma 1.4. Two observations:

1. Symmetry:

$$\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = \left(\frac{b,a}{F}\right)$$

2. Functoriality:

1.

2.

If
$$F \hookrightarrow K$$
 is a field extension $K_F \otimes_F \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\frac{a,b}{K}\right)$ as K -algebras.

Proof. Follows from construction.

Proposition 1.5. Let $a, b \in \dot{F}$. Then,

$$\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\frac{ax^2, by^2}{F}\right) \quad \forall \ x, y \in \dot{F}.$$
$$\left(\frac{-1,1}{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{M}_2(F)$$

4

or

$$CENTER\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = F.$$

- 4. $\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$ has no nontrivial ideals (a simple algebra).
- 5. **Remark**. Because of (3, 4), $\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$ is a central simple algebra over F, which will be discussed in chapter IV.

Proof. Write $A = \begin{pmatrix} a,b \\ F \end{pmatrix}$ and $A' = \begin{pmatrix} ax^2, by^2 \\ F \end{pmatrix}$. As usual let 1, i, j, k = ij be the "standard" basis of A. and 1, i', j', k' = i'j' be the "standard" basis of A'.

Then,
$$i^2 = a, j^2 = b, (i')^2 = ax^2, (j')^2 = by^2.$$

Define a map

$$\varphi_0: F[[X,Y]] \longrightarrow \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) \quad by \quad \varphi(X) = xi, \quad \varphi(Y) = yj.$$

Consider the two sided ideal

$$\mathcal{J} = GeneratedBy\left(X^2 - ax^2, Y^2 - by^2, XY + YX\right)$$

Then, $\varphi(\mathcal{J}) = 0$. So, φ_0 factors as

Since φ is F-algebra map, it is also a F-linear map. So, $1, \varphi(i') = xi, \varphi(j') = yj, \varphi(k') = xyk$ is also a basis. Therefore, φ is an isomorphism.

Proof of (2): In the proof of (1.3), take a = -1, b = 1 and $\alpha = \beta = 1$. That means, take

$$i_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad Note, \quad i_0 j_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that $I_2, i_0, j_0, i_0 j_0$ is a basis of $\mathbb{M}_2(F)$. So, $i \mapsto i_0, j \mapsto j_0$ defines the isomorphism needed.

Proof of (3): Let E be the algebraic closure of F. Then,

$$E \otimes_F \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) \approx \left(\frac{a,b}{E}\right) \approx \left(\frac{-\sqrt{-a^2},\sqrt{b^2}}{E}\right) \approx \left(\frac{-1,1}{E}\right) \approx \mathbb{M}_2(E).$$

- 1. Center of $\mathbb{M}_2(E)$ is E (as EI_2). **Proof.** Exercise.
- 2. Claim: Center of $A := \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$ is F. **Proof.** Note, for $x \in A$, $x \in Center(A) \iff xi = ix, xj = jx \iff x \in Center(\mathbb{M}_2(E)) \iff x \in E.$ So, $x \in A \cap E$. So, $x \in F$. So, (3) is established.
- 3. **Proof of (4)**: Suppose A has a nontrivial ideal I. Write $\mathcal{I} = I \otimes E$. So, $\dim_F I < 4$ and hence $\dim_E \mathcal{I} < 4$. Note $iI \subseteq I, jI \subseteq I, Ii \subseteq I, Ij \subseteq I$. Hence, $i\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}, j\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}i \subseteq \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}j \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Therefore, \mathcal{I} is a nontrivial ideal of $\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) \approx \mathbb{M}_2(E)$, which is a contradiction.

Pure Quarternions 1.1

Definition 1.6. A quaternion $v = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k \in A := \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$ is called a pure quaternion if $\alpha = 0$. The *F*-linear space of all pure quaternions is denoted by A_0 .

Proposition 1.7. Let $0 \neq v \in A$. Then

$$v \in A_0 \iff v \notin F \text{ and } v^2 \in F.$$

Proof. Let $v = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k$. Then,

$$v^{2} = (\alpha^{2} + a\beta^{2} + b\gamma^{2} - ab\delta^{2}) + 2\alpha(\beta i + \gamma j + \delta k).$$

The corollary follows form this identity.

Corollary 1.8. If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a,b \\ F \end{pmatrix}$ and $A' = \begin{pmatrix} a',b' \\ F \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\varphi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$ be a $F-algebra \ isomorphism.$ The $\varphi(A_0) = A'_0.$

Proof. Follows from (1.6).

1.2 The Real Quarternion

By (1.5), we have only three quartenion algebras:

$$\left(\frac{1,1}{\mathbb{R}}\right), \quad \left(\frac{-1,1}{\mathbb{R}}\right) \approx \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad and \quad \mathcal{H} = \left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{R}}\right).$$

We will study the third one, known as The Real Quarternion Algebra.

- 1. First, $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}i \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.
- 2. \mathbb{C} is not in the center of \mathcal{H} . In this sense, \mathcal{H} is not a \mathbb{C} -algebra.
- 3. \mathcal{H} is a right \mathbb{C} vector space with basis $\{1, j\}$. Any v = x + yi + zj + wk can ne written as

$$v = (x + yi) + j(z - wi) = \alpha + j\beta$$
 for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

4. For $v \in \mathcal{H}$ define

$$L_v: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$
 by $L_v(z) = vz$. Then, $L_{vv'} = L_v o L_{v'}$.

5. L_v is a \mathbb{C} -linear endomorphism of \mathcal{H} . To see this we check

$$L_v(z_1\alpha + z_2\beta) = v(z_1\alpha + z_2\beta) = L_v(z_1)\alpha + L_v(z_2)\beta.$$

6. L defines, \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphism

$$L: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow End_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \quad wrt \quad basis \quad 1, j.$$

7. We have

$$L_i = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Needs care, because scalar multiplication comes from right:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}L_i(1)\\L_i(j)\end{array}\right)^t = \left(\begin{array}{c}i\\ij\end{array}\right)^t = \left(\begin{array}{c}i\\-ji\end{array}\right)^t = \left(\begin{array}{c}1\\j\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}i&0\\0&-i\end{array}\right)$$

Note entries in the square matrix are scalars and the basis elements are from \mathcal{H} . Also

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}L_k(1)\\L_k(j)\end{array}\right)^t = \left(\begin{array}{c}k\\kj\end{array}\right)^t = \left(\begin{array}{c}-ji\\-i\end{array}\right)^t = \left(\begin{array}{c}1&j\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}0&-i\\-i&0\end{array}\right)$$

- 8. This is left regular representation of \mathcal{H} in $End(\mathcal{H})$.
- 9. We can compute $L_{x+iy}, L_{\alpha+j\beta}$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, by composition:

$$L_{x+yi} = L_x + L_y L_i = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x+yi & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{x+yi} \end{pmatrix}$$

Use this to compute

$$L_{\alpha+j\beta} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{array}\right)$$

10. L is a faithful representation:

$$L_v = 0 \Longrightarrow L_v(1) = v = 0.$$

11. So, \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to the real subalgebra of $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, consisting of matrices of the form:

$$L_{\alpha+j\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad with \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Recall the following:

Definition 1.9. Recall the following:

- 1. A matrix in $U \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called a unitary matrix, if $UU^* = I_n = U^*U$.
- 2. The group U(n) of all unitary matrices is called the unitary group.
- 3. The special unitary group SU(n) is defined to be

$$SU(n) = \{U \in U(n) : \det(U) = 1\}.$$

Lemma 1.10. We have

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \sigma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{array} \right) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \det(\sigma) = 1 \right\}$$

Proof. Omitted. Write down the equations and solve.

Corollary 1.11. The group of unit quaternions

$$U_0 = \{x + yi + zj + wk : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} SU(2)$$

Proof. Under the representation L, image of L is exactly SU(2), by (1.10). More precisely,

$$L_{\alpha+\beta j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjugation

Definition 1.12. For

$$v = x + yi + zj + wk \in \mathcal{H}$$
 define $\overline{v} := x - yi - zj - wk$

We say \overline{v} is the conjugate of v.

1. If we write

$$v = (x + yi) + j(z - wi) = \alpha + j\beta$$
 then $\overline{v} = \overline{\alpha} - j\beta$

2. The representation $L : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ preserves the conjugation (involution) in the sense

$$(L_v)^* = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha} & \overline{\beta} \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = L_{\overline{v}}.$$

2 Quaternions and Quadratic Spaces

Let $A := \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$. In this section, we define a quadratic structure on the quaternion algebra A.

- 1. For $x = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k$, define $\overline{x} := \alpha \beta i \gamma j \delta k$.
- 2. It follows, for $x, y \in A$ and $r \in F$

$$\overline{x+y} = \overline{x} + \overline{y}, \quad \overline{xy} = \overline{y} \cdot \overline{x}, \quad \overline{\overline{x}} = x, \quad \overline{rx} = r\overline{x}$$

- 3. The map $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ is called the bar involution on A.
- 4. For $x \in A$ define, Norm Nx and Trace Tx of x as follows:

$$Nx := x\overline{x}, \quad Tx := x + \overline{x}.$$

5. In fact, $Nx \in F$ and $Tx \in F$. This is because

$$\overline{Nx} = \overline{x\overline{x}} = Nx$$
, and similarly, $\overline{Tx} = Tx$.

So,

the norm maps $N: A \longrightarrow F$, and the trace maps $T: A \longrightarrow F$.

6. Define the bilinear form

$$B: A \times A \longrightarrow F \quad by \quad B(x, y) := \frac{T(x\overline{y})}{2} = \frac{x\overline{y} + y\overline{x}}{2}$$

7. The quadratic map associated with B is

$$q_B(x) = B(x, x) = x\overline{x} = Nx.$$

This quadratic form is called the Norm form.

8. We claim: $\{1, i, j, k\}$ forms an orthogonal basis of A, which is checked easily:

$$B(1,i) = \frac{Ti}{2} = 0, \quad B(i,j) = \frac{T(ij)}{2} = \frac{T(k)}{2} = 0$$
 and so on.

Corollary 2.1. The quadratic space (A, B) has an orthogonal basis and isometric to

$$\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle \cong \langle 1, -a \rangle \otimes \langle 1, -b \rangle$$

Proof. We saw $\{1, i, j, k\}$ is an orthogonal basis of A. We have

$$q(1) = N(1) = 1, \quad q(i) = N(i) = -i^2 = -a,$$

 $q(j) = N(j) = -j^2 = -b, \quad q(k) = N(k) = -k^2 = ab$

The proof is complete.

Observations and a Question:

- 1. $\det(A) = \det(\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle) = 1.$
- 2. $1 \in D(A)$.
- 3. Lam comments: these $\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle$ are precisely the four dimensional quadratic forms satisfying condition (1, 2). (Give a proof).

Corollary 2.2. For $x = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k$ we have

$$Nx = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 a - \gamma^2 b + \delta^2 a b.$$

Proof. Use orthogonality. The proof is complete.

Remarks.

- 1. For $x \in A$, we have $Nx = N\overline{x}$.
- 2. So, $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ is an isometry.
- 3. So, $B(x, y) = B(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$ for all x, y. Ofcourse

$$B(x,y) = \frac{T(x\overline{y})}{2} = \frac{T(\overline{x}y)}{2} = B(\overline{x},\overline{y}).$$

4. For any $x \in A$ we have

$$x^2 - T(x)x + N(x) = 0.$$

- 5. For $x = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k \in \mathcal{H} = \left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, we are not surprised $N(x) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2$
- 6. Exercise. If we use the model $L(\mathcal{H})$, then norm and trace corresponds exactly to that of matrices (over \mathbb{C}).

Proposition 2.3. We have

- 1. $x, y \in A \Longrightarrow N(x, y) = Nx \cdot Ny$.
- 2. $x \in A$ is invertible if and only if $Nx \neq 0$ (which means x is anisotropic).

Proof. $N(xy) = xy\overline{xy} = x(y \cdot \overline{y})\overline{x} = Nx \cdot Ny$. To prove (2), suppose x^{-1} exists. Then

$$1 = N(1) = N(x \cdot x^{-1}) = N(x)N(x^{-1}).$$

So, $Nx \neq 0$. Conversely, If $Nx \neq 0$ then

$$x \cdot \frac{\overline{x}}{Nx} = \frac{x \cdot \overline{x}}{Nx} = 1.$$
 So, $x^{-1} = \frac{\overline{x}}{Nx}$

Contrast: To inner product spaces, with involution (like \mathbb{C}),

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\overline{x}}{\langle x, \overline{x} \rangle} = \frac{\overline{x}}{\parallel x \parallel^2}.$$

Corollary 2.4. skip Corollary 2.4

Theorem 2.5. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a,b \\ F \end{pmatrix}$ and $A' = \begin{pmatrix} a',b' \\ F \end{pmatrix}$. The following are equivalent:

- 1. A and A' are isomorphic as F-algebras.
- 2. A and A' are isometric as quadratic spaces.
- 3. A_0 and A'_0 are isometric as quadratic spaces.

Proof. (2) \iff (3) by cancellation theorem.

 $((1) \implies (2))$: Let $\varphi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$ be an *F*-algebra homomorphism. By corollary 1.8, $\varphi(A_0) = A'_0$. Let $x = \alpha + x_0 \in A$, with $\alpha \in F, x_0 \in A_0$. We prove $Nx = N(\varphi(x))$. We have $\varphi(x) = \alpha + \varphi(x_0)$. It follows $\overline{\varphi(x)} = \alpha - \varphi(x_0) = \varphi(\overline{x})$. So,

$$N(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(x) \cdot \overline{\varphi(x)} = \varphi(x \cdot \overline{x}) = x \cdot \overline{x} = Nx.$$

 $((3) \Longrightarrow (1))$: Let $\sigma : A_0 \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} A'_0$ be an isometry. We have

$$-a = N(i) = N(\sigma(i)) = \sigma(i)\overline{\sigma(i)} = -\sigma(i)^2, \qquad So, \quad \sigma(i)^2 = a.$$

Similarly, $\sigma(j)^2 = b$. Also,

$$i \perp j \Longrightarrow \sigma(i) \perp \sigma(j) \longrightarrow \sigma(i)\sigma(j) = -\sigma(j)\sigma(i).$$

This shows there is F-algebra homomorphism:

$$\tilde{\sigma}: A \longrightarrow A' \qquad i \mapsto \sigma(i), \ j \mapsto \sigma(j).$$

So, $\tilde{\sigma}(k) = \tilde{\sigma}(i)\tilde{\sigma}(j) = \sigma(i)\sigma(j)$.

One can see for $u, v \in A'$ the producs uv, vu have same constant term. Since, $\sigma(i)\sigma(j) = -\sigma(j)\sigma(i)$, it follows $\omega := \sigma(i)\sigma(j) \in A'_0$.

Also $\sigma(i), \sigma(j), \sigma(k)$ is a basis os A'_0 . Claim: $\omega \notin F\sigma(i) + F\sigma(j)$. If not, write $\sigma(i)\sigma(j) = \alpha\sigma(i) + \beta\sigma(j)$. Multiply by $\sigma(i)$ from left, we have

$$a\sigma(j) = \alpha a + \beta\sigma(i)\sigma(j)$$

Since, $1, \sigma(i), \sigma(j), \sigma(k)$ a basis, we have the constant term $\alpha a = 0$ and hence $\alpha = 0$. Similarly, $\beta = 0$. So, the claim is proved.

So, $1, \sigma(i), \sigma(j), \sigma(\tilde{k}) = \sigma(i)\sigma(j)$ is a basis. So, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 2.6.

$$\left(\frac{a,a}{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\frac{a,-1}{F}\right) \quad and \quad \left(\frac{a,a}{F}\right) \cong \left(\frac{a,-1}{F}\right)$$

Proof. He wrote only \cong . Two quaternion algebras have the norm forms (see (2.1))

$$\langle 1, -a, -a, a^2 \rangle, \quad \langle 1, -a, 1, -a \rangle$$

But

$$\langle 1, -a, 1, -a \rangle \cong \langle 1, -a, -a, 1 \rangle \cong \langle 1, -a, -a, a^2 \rangle$$

Now, by (2.5), they are isomorphic. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2.7. Let $A = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. $A \cong \left(\frac{1,-1}{F}\right)$ (which is $\cong \mathbb{M}_2(F)$).
- 2. A is not a division algebra.
- 3. A is isotropic as a quadratic space.
- 4. A is hyperboloc as a quadratic space.
- 5. A_0 is isotropic as a quadratic space.

6.
$$(\langle a \rangle - 1)(\langle b \rangle - 1) = 0$$
 in $\widehat{W}(F)$ (or in $W(F)$).

- 7. The binary form $\langle a, b \rangle$ represents 1.
- 8. $a \in N_{E/F}(E)$, where $E = F(\sqrt{b})$ and $N_{E/F}$ is a field.

Note, by (2.5), \cong may mean isomorphism or isometry. If any of these conditions hold, we say A splits over F.

Proof. ((1) \iff (4)): (1) means Hyperabolic space (the RHS) as *F*-algebra. So, this is established by (2.5).

 $((4) \implies (6))$: In fact, A is isometric to $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(\langle b \rangle - 1)$, hence zero in $\widehat{W}(F)$.

 $((6) \Longrightarrow (4))$: Following isometries follows from (6):

$$\langle 1, ab \rangle \cong \langle a, b \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle \cong \langle a, b \rangle \perp \langle -a, -b \rangle$$

which is hyperpolic.

 $((6) \Longrightarrow (7))$: We have

$$\langle ab \rangle \perp \langle 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \perp \langle b \rangle \quad \in \widehat{W}(F).$$

Hence

 $\langle ab, 1 \rangle \cong \langle a, b \rangle$

Since LHS represents 1, so does the RHS.

 $((7) \Longrightarrow (6))$: Since, $\langle a, b \rangle$ represents 1, $\langle a, b \rangle \cong \langle 1, ab \rangle$. So, $\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle = 0 \in \widehat{W}(F)$. Therfore, we have

$$(1) \Longleftrightarrow (4) \Longleftrightarrow (6) \Longleftrightarrow (7)$$

((3) \iff ((4)): Clearly, (4) \implies (3). Now suppose A is isotropic. Then, $A \cong \mathbb{H} \perp q$, for some q. In any case, the determinant of the Norm form is $= a^2b^2 = 1$. So, $1 = \det(\mathbb{H}) \det q$. So, $\det q = -1$. So, $q \cong \mathbb{H}$, by (I.5.1). So, (4) follows.

 $((4) \iff ((5))$: If A_0 has Witt index zero, then Witt indexs of A would be at most one. So, $(4) \implies (5) \implies 3 \implies (4)$.

 $((1) \Longrightarrow ((2))$: Obvious, because the former is not a division algebra.

 $((2) \implies ((3))$: Suppose A is ansotropic. Then, by (2.3), A would be a division algebra.

The proof is complete.