

Witt Groups of Cohen-Macaulay Rings

Satya Mandal, University Kansas
Based on a joint research project with
Sarang Sane, University of Kansas

ATM Workshop-Conference on
Classical and Non-Stable Algebraic K -theory
July 15-24, 2013

Objective and Notations

- ▶ To **extend and apply Triangulated Witt groups** (due to Balmer and Others) to Cohen-Macaulay schemes. The existing theory, mainly, applies to regular schemes.
- ▶ Let A denote any noetherian commutative ring.
 - ▶ The category of finitely generated A -modules will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}(A)$.
 - ▶ The category of finitely generated A -modules with finite projective dimensions will be denoted by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{MFPD}(A)$.

Continued

- ▶ $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{MFPDfl}(A)$ will denote the subcategory of objects in \mathcal{B} with finite projective dimension.
- ▶ First, we **proceed to justify that**, for non-regular rings A , right category to work with is $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{MFPD}(A)$ or its subcategory $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{MFPDfl}(A)$

Continued: Chain Complexes

In terms of chain complexes, we have the following:

- ▶ As usual $Ch^b(\mathcal{M}(A))$, $Ch^b(\mathcal{B})$, $Ch^b(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the categories of bounded chain complexes of objects in the respective categories. Also, $K^b(\mathcal{M}(A))$, $K^b(\mathcal{B})$, $K^b(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the categories of bounded chain complexes and morphisms of chain homotopic maps.
- ▶ Similarly, $K^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ denotes the category of chain complexes of objects in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and morphisms of chain homotopic maps. ($\mathcal{P}(A)$ denote the category of finitely generated projective A -modules.)

Choice of the Exact Category

- ▶ When A is regular, there is a functor

$$\mathcal{M}(A) \longrightarrow K^b(\mathcal{P}(A)) \quad M \mapsto P_\bullet$$

where P_\bullet is given by a projective resolution of M , with $H_0(P_\bullet) = M$. (**use axiom of choice**).

- ▶ If A is **not** regular, we **ONLY** have functor

$$\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow K^b(\mathcal{P}(A)) \quad M \mapsto P_\bullet$$

- ▶ So, we **work with** \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} .

Continued

- ▶ Given our choice the exact categories, we restrict ourselves in the full subcategories of complexes **with homologies in \mathcal{B} or \mathcal{A}** .
- ▶ In this lecture, **we work mostly with** and denote them by

$$K_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A}), \quad K_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}))$$

and comment on other similar categories.

In the regular case all these K^b -categories and the corresponding derived categories **are triangulated**.

- ▶ Do, **we have such luxury**, in the non-regular case?
- ▶ **Questions:**
 - ▶ What kind of dualities these categories may have?
 - ▶ Are these categories closed under cone construction?

Examples

Sankar P. Dutta gave the following example to demonstrate that $K_B^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is **not closed** under usual duality induced by $\text{Hom}(-, A)$.

Example(Dutta). Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be any non-regular Cohen-Macaulay local ring, $\dim A = d$.

► Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_d \xrightarrow{\partial_d} P_{d-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

be an (infinite) projective resolution of k .

Continued

- ▶ Let $M = \text{cokernel}(\partial_d^*)$. Since $\text{Ext}^r(k, A) = 0 \forall r < d$,

$$0 \longrightarrow P_0^* \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_d^* \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact.}$$

So, $M \in \mathcal{B}$.

- ▶ Dualizing this sequence, it follows that $\text{Ext}_A^d(M, A) \cong k$, which does not have finite projective dimension.
- ▶ In particular, $K_{\mathcal{B}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is **not closed under duality**.

On Duality

Theorem. Let A be a Cohen-Macaulay ring and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{MFPDfl}(A)$.

▶ The functor $Ext^d(*, A) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a duality on \mathcal{A} .

▶ Theorem $(-, \text{Sane})$

$K_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is closed under duality, induced by $Hom(*, A)$.

Failure of Cone Construction

The following example shows that $Ch_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is **not closed** under cone construction.

Example. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a non-regular Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\dim A = d$, such that $\mathfrak{m} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d, z)$. We can assume, using prime avoidance, that f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d is a regular sequence. Let $U_{\bullet} = Kos_{\bullet}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)$ be the Koszul complex.

- ▶ The only nonzero homology of U_{\bullet} is $H_0(U_{\bullet}) = \frac{A}{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)} \in \mathcal{A}$,
- ▶ So, U_{\bullet} and all its translates are objects in $K_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$.
- ▶ Let $C(z)$ be the cone of the chain map $z : U_{\bullet} \rightarrow U_{\bullet}$.

Continued

- ▶ Considering the exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{\bullet} \longrightarrow C(z) \longrightarrow U_{\bullet}[1] \longrightarrow 0$$

it follows that $H_0(\text{Cone}(z)) \cong$

$$\text{coker}\left(\frac{A}{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)} \xrightarrow{\cdot z} \frac{A}{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)}\right) \cong \frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}} \notin \mathcal{A}.$$

So, $C(z)$ is **not an object of $K_A^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$** .

The Derived Categories

- ▶ Recall, the derived category $D^b(\mathcal{E})$, of bounded complexes of objects in an exact category \mathcal{E} , was defined by **inverting the quasi-isomorphisms** in $K^b(\mathcal{E})$.
- ▶ For this lecture, A is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\dim A_m = d$ for all maximum ideals m of A .
 - ▶ As usual, the Derived category $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is a triangulated category with duality. The duality is induced by $\text{Hom}(-, A)$
 - ▶ Similarly, $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated category with duality. The duality is induced by $\text{Ext}^d(-, A)$

The Paradigm

- ▶ Today, we study, the "derived categories"

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A}), \quad D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A)), \quad \textit{obtained by inverting}$$

quasi-isomorphisms, respectively in, $K_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A}), K_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

- ▶ $D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A}), D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ are **not necessarily triangulated**.

The Paradigm: Continued

- ▶ However,

$D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is a full subcategory.

- ▶ Likewise,

$D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A)) \hookrightarrow D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is a full subcategory.

- ▶ Note $D^b(\mathcal{A})$, $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ are **triangulated** with duality.
- ▶ We will define **Witt groups of subcategories** of triangulated with duality.

Definition

Let $\delta = \pm 1$. Suppose $K := (K, \#, \delta, \varpi)$ is a triangulated category with translation T and δ -duality $\#$. Suppose K_0 is a full subcategory of K that is closed under isomorphism, translation, orthogonal sum and duality.

- ▶ Define the Witt monoid of $MW(K_0)$ to be the submonoid

$$MW(K_0) = \{(P, \varphi) \in MW(K) : P \in Ob(K_0)\}.$$

- ▶ A symmetric space $(P, \varphi) \in MW(K_0)$ will be called a **neutral space** in $MW(K_0)$ if it has a Lagrangian (L, α, w) in $MW(K)$ such that $L, L^\# \in Ob(K_0)$.

Continued

- ▶ Let $NW(K_0)$ be the submonoid of $MW(K_0)$ generated by the isometry classes of neutral spaces in K_0 .
- ▶ Define the **Witt group**

$$W(K_0) := \frac{MW(K_0)}{NW(K_0)}. \quad W(K_0) \text{ has a group structure.}$$

Continued

- ▶ Accordingly, the **shifted Witt groups**

$$W^n(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))) := W(T^n(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A)), *, 1, \varpi)), \quad \text{and}$$

$$W^n(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A})) := W(T^n(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A}), \vee, 1, \tilde{\varpi}))$$

are defined, where

$*, \vee$ are induced by $\text{Hom}(-, A), \text{Ext}^d(-, A)$, respectively.

The Serre Category Theorem

▶ Theorem (-, Sane)

We have the diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & W(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A})) \\ & \searrow^{\sim} & \downarrow^{\sim} \\ & & W(D^b(\mathcal{A})) \end{array}$$

- ▶ The diagonal isomorphism is a theorem of Balmer.
- ▶ The theorem holds for **any** Serre Category.

The Dévissage Theorem

Theorem (-, Sane)

- ▶ 0-Shift:

$$W(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} W^d(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})))$$

- ▶ 2-Shift:

$$W^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{d+2}(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})))$$

- ▶ Odd-Shift:

$$W^{d+1}(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}))) \cong W^{d-1}(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}))) = 0.$$

- ▶ *4-periodicity* describes all the shifted Witt groups.

Diagrammatically Stated:

Here is a commutative **diagram** of some of the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & W(D^b(\mathcal{A})) \\
 & \nearrow \text{Balmer} & \uparrow \wr \\
 W(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & W(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{A})) \\
 & \searrow \wr & \downarrow \wr \\
 & & W^d(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})))
 \end{array}$$

Comments

- ▶ When (A, m) is regular local, the theorem above is a result of Balmer-Walter. In that case,
 - ▶ $W(\mathcal{A}) = W(A/m) = W^d(D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A)))$
 - ▶ All the **other three** groups are zero.
- ▶ Our theorems assumes **neither regular nor local**.
- ▶ Our methods are fairly **elementary**.

The Theorem of Balmer

We borrow a good deal of methods from the work of Balmer, including following theorem:

Theorem.(Balmer) Let $(K, \#)$ be a triangulated category with duality containing $1/2$. Suppose K satisfies $(TR4^+)$.

- ▶ Let (P_\bullet, φ) be a symmetric space and
- ▶ let $\nu_1 : L_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet$ be a morphism such that $\nu_1^\# \varphi \nu = 0$ (we say ν_1 is a sublagrangian).
- ▶ Choose any triangle over ν_1 , as in the top line
- ▶ The second line is the dual of the first line.

Continued: The Theorem of Balmer

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T^{-1}N_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & L_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & P_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & N_{\bullet} \\
 T^{-1}\mu_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_0^{\#} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \mu_0^{\#} \\
 T^{-1}L_{\bullet}^{\#} & \longrightarrow & N_{\bullet}^{\#} & \longrightarrow & P_{\bullet}^{\#} & \xrightarrow{\nu_1^{\#}} & L_{\bullet}^{\#} \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & R_{\bullet} & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & T^{-1}L_{\bullet} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Continued: The Theorem of Balmer

- ▶ By choice μ_0 **very good** morphism (see Balmer).
- ▶ The **verticle line** is a triangle on μ_0 .

Then, there there exists a symmetric form

$$\psi : R_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\bullet}^{\#} \quad \ni \quad [(P_{\bullet}, \varphi)] = [(R_{\bullet}, \psi)] \in W(K, \#).$$

The Map

We give a sketch of the proof that

$$\pi : W(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} W^d(D^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})))$$

- ▶ First, there is a natural homomorphism

$$\pi([(M, \varphi_0)]) = [(P_\bullet, \varphi)]$$

where P_\bullet is a finite **projective resolution** of $H_0(P_\bullet) = M$.

The Surjectivity

- ▶ Let (P_\bullet, φ) be a symmetric form in

$$T^d D_A^b(\mathcal{P}(A)) \subseteq T^d D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$$

- ▶ Upto **Quasi-isomorphism** the form looks like:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 P_{n+d} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{-n} \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & & & \downarrow \varphi & & & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 P_{-n}^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_d^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{n+d}^*
 \end{array}$$

Continued: The Surjectivity

- ▶ with $H_{-n}(P_\bullet) \neq 0$. Assume $N \geq 1$.
- ▶ By definition $\forall i \ H_i(P_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i(P_\bullet^\#)$.
- ▶ By a simple lemma, $H_i(P_\bullet) = 0 \ \forall i > n$.
- ▶ We have

$$0 \neq H_{-n}(P_\bullet) \approx \text{Ext}^d \left(\frac{P_n}{\ker(\partial_n)}, A \right) \approx \text{Ext}^d (H_n(P_\bullet), A)$$

- ▶ Take a **projective resolution** $L_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow H_{-n}(P_\bullet)$, and complete the following diagram.

The Sublagrangian Construction: The Surjectivity

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 L_{n+d} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & L_n & & \\
 \downarrow \nu & & & & \downarrow \nu & & \\
 P_{n+d} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_n & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{-n} \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & & & \downarrow \varphi & & & & \downarrow & & & & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 P_{-n}^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{-n+d}^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_d^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{n+d}^* \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \nu^\# \\
 & & & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & L_n^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & L_{n+d}^*
 \end{array}$$

The Sublagrangian Construction: The Surjectivity

- ▶ It follows $\forall i \ H_i(\nu^\# \varphi \nu) = 0$
- ▶ $L^\#$ is "exact enough" to prove that $\nu^\# \varphi \nu \sim 0$ in K^b -category, hence in $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$.
- ▶ We apply Balmer's theorem, in $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$. He proved, there is a Lagrangian

$$\eta : N^\# \longrightarrow (P_\bullet, \varphi) \perp (R_\bullet, -\psi) \quad \text{in} \quad D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$$

Final Steps: The Surjectivity

- ▶ Since $N^\#$ is in $D_A^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$, η is a Lagrangian in $D_A^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

- ▶ Hence

$$[(P_\bullet, \varphi)] = [(R_\bullet, \psi)] \text{ in } D_A^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$$

- ▶ Chasing the homology sequences, it follows

$$H_i(R_\bullet) = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad n-1 \leq i \leq -(n-1).$$

- ▶ Since $H_{-n}(R_\bullet) = 0$, it **splits** at degree $-n$.
- ▶ Up to **quasi-isomorphism**, R_\bullet is supported on $[(n-1) + d, -(n-1)]$.

Final Steps: The Surjectivity

- ▶ By induction,

$$[(P_{\bullet}, \varphi)] = [(Q_{\bullet}, \psi)] \text{ in } D_{\mathcal{A}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$$

where $H_i(Q_{\bullet}) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq 0$

- ▶ So, surjectivity is **established**.